COMMISSIONERS
DOUG LITTLE - Chairman
BOB STUMP
BOB BURNS
TOM FORESE
ANDY TOBIN





### ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSIONE CEIVED

2016 JUL -8 P 2: 55

July 8, 2016

To: Docket Control

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCKET CONTROL

RE: ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE - Customer Comments

Docket No. E-01345A-16-0036

Please docket the attached 6 customer comments and 4 petitions totaling 64 customer comments opposing the above filed case.

Customer comments can be reviewed in E-docket under the above docket number.

Filed by: Utilities Division - Consumer Services

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETETO

JUL 8 2016

DOCKETED BY

## Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Roxanne Best Phone: <<< REDACTED >>> Opinion Date: 7/8/2016

Opinion Number: 2016 - 132832 Priority: Respond within 5 business days

Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed Closed Date: 7/8/2016 10:12 AM

Other - Net Metering

First Name: PETITION Last Name: PETITION 16 Account Name: PETITION

PETITION 16

Address: <<< REDACTED >>>

City: Scottsdale State: AZ Zip Code: 85251

Company: Arizona Public Service Company Division: Electric

**Nature Of Opinion** 

Docket Number: E-01345A-16-0036 Docket Position: Against

16 opposed with same letter as follows:

Dear Commissioners Arizona Corporation Commission,

As an Arizona ratepayer, I am deeply troubled by the extreme proposal submitted by APS in docket E-01345A-16-0036.

The proposal to move over a million customers onto mandatory demand charges is unprecedented and will require customers to constantly monitor their energy use out of fear of exorbitant charges.

Further, consumers have no way to determine when these surge prices are triggered.

The elimination of net metering is intended to penalize customers who intend to make substantial private investments to reduce energy and contribute to more resilient Arizona energy future. This would mean the loss of thousands of jobs in addition to consumer choice, and is a brazen attempt by a monopoly utility to eliminate free market competition.

I call on you to reject this proposal, and any other that seeks to impose discriminatory charges on ratepayers to pad monopoly utility profits. Arizona residents will be watching your actions on this issue.

Signed:

Sylvia Bushell Jackie Gonzalez

Maria Koehl Susan Hehlen

Cheryl Bessler Lori Britton

Samantha Sanchez Lois merezko

Kathie Price Deborah Fox

# Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

STEVE EINSTMAN

Cathy Lively

MICHAEL MCLAEN

Richard Robinson

Allen Davis

**David Brown** 

Investigation

Date:

Analyst:

Submitted By:

Type:

7/8/2016

Roxanne Best

Email

Investigation

### Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Phone: <<< REDACTED >>> **Opinion Date: 7/7/2016 Investigator:** Roxanne Best **Opinion Number: 2016 - 132811** Priority: Respond within 5 business days **Opinion Codes:** Closed Date: 7/7/2016 4:51 PM Other - Net Metering Rate Case Items - Opposed **Account Name: PETITION 5** First Name: PETITION 5 **Last Name: PETITION** PETITION Address: <<< REDACTED >>> State: AZ City: Yuma **Zip Code: 85365** Company: Arizona Public Service Company **Division:** Electric **Nature Of Opinion** Docket Number: E-01345A-16-0036 **Docket Position:** Against 5 opposed with same letter as follows: Dear Commissioners Arizona Corporation Commission. As an Arizona ratepayer, I am deeply troubled by the extreme proposal submitted by APS in docket E-01345A-16-0036. The proposal to move over a million customers onto mandatory demand charges is unprecedented and will require customers to constantly monitor their energy use out of fear of exorbitant charges. Further, consumers have no way to determine when these surge prices are triggered. The elimination of net metering is intended to penalize customers who intend to make substantial private investments to reduce energy and contribute to more resilient Arizona energy future. This would mean the loss of thousands of jobs in addition to consumer choice, and is a brazen attempt by a monopoly utility to eliminate free market competition. I call on you to reject this proposal, and any other that seeks to impose discriminatory charges on ratepayers to pad monopoly utility profits. Arizona residents will be watching your actions on this issue. Signed: John Quintero Michael Gordy Ralph Beam Ann Miller Tim Fowley Investigation Date: Analyst: Submitted By: Type:

# Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

7/7/2016

Roxanne Best

Email

Investigation

### Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Roxanne Best Phone: <<< REDACTED >>> Opinion Date: 7/6/2016

Opinion Number: 2016 - 132790 Priority: Respond within 5 business days

Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed Closed Date: 7/6/2016 11:28 AM

Other - Net Metering

First Name: PETITION 4 Last Name: PETITION Account Name: PETITION 4

PETITION

Address: <<< REDACTED >>>

City: Tucson State: AZ Zip Code: 85705

Company: Arizona Public Service Company Division: Electric

**Nature Of Opinion** 

Docket Number: E-01345A-16-0036 Docket Position: Against

4 opposed with same letter as follows:

Dear Commissioners Arizona Corporation Commission,

As an Arizona ratepayer, I am deeply troubled by the extreme proposal submitted by APS in docket E-01345A-16-0036.

The proposal to move over a million customers onto mandatory demand charges is unprecedented and will require customers to constantly monitor their energy use out of fear of exorbitant charges.

Further, consumers have no way to determine when these surge prices are triggered.

The elimination of net metering is intended to penalize customers who intend to make substantial private investments to reduce energy and contribute to more resilient Arizona energy future. This would mean the loss of thousands of jobs in addition to consumer choice, and is a brazen attempt by a monopoly utility to eliminate free market competition.

I call on you to reject this proposal, and any other that seeks to impose discriminatory charges on ratepayers to pad monopoly utility profits. Arizona residents will be watching your actions on this issue.

Signed:

Fritzi Redgrave

Diane Montour

Megan Dalton

Stanley Hattaway

Investigation

Date: Analyst:

Submitted By:

Type:

Opinion 132790 - Page 1 of 2

# Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

7/6/2016

Roxanne Best

Email

Investigation

# **Arizona Corporation Commission**Utilities Complaint Form

**Investigator:** Mary Mee

Phone: <<< REDACTED >>>

**Opinion Date: 7/1/2016** 

**Opinion Number: 2016 - 132734** 

**Priority: Respond within 5 business days** 

Opinion Codes:

Rate Case Items - Opposed

Closed Date: 7/5/2016 4:37 PM

Rate Case Items - Demand/ Opposed

Other - Net Metering

**First Name: PETITION** 

**Last Name: PETITION 39** 

**Account Name: PETITION** 

**PETITION** 

Address:

City:

State:

Zip Code:

**Company: Arizona Public Service Company** 

**Division:** Electric

#### **Nature Of Opinion**

**Docket Number:** E-01345A-16-0036

**Docket Position:** Against

Received the following identical comments from 40 customers representing 39 households opposed to the proposed rate case.

To: Utilities Div - Mailbox <UtilitiesDiv@azcc.gov>

Subject: Reject the APS attack on consumers

Dear Commissioners Arizona Corporation Commission,

As an Arizona ratepayer, I am deeply troubled by the extreme proposal submitted by APS in docket E-01345A-16-0036.

The proposal to move over a million customers onto mandatory demand charges is unprecedented and will require customers to constantly monitor their energy use out of fear of exorbitant charges.

Further, consumers have no way to determine when these surge prices are triggered.

The elimination of net metering is intended to penalize customers who intend to make substantial private investments to reduce energy and contribute to more resilient Arizona energy future. This would mean the loss of thousands of jobs in addition to consumer choice, and is a brazen attempt by a monopoly utility to eliminate free market competition.

I call on you to reject this proposal, and any other that seeks to impose discriminatory charges on ratepayers to pad monopoly utility profits. Arizona residents will be watching your actions on this issue.

#### Regards,

Submitted by: 1. Judith jensen 2. Lois Ramias 3. Ned Wyeth 4. Randy Greer 5. Jean Darnell 6. Nicholas Acciardo 7. Charles ODowd 8. Mary Zanzot 9. John Zanzot 10. George Ripley 11. Tom Ellis 12. Judy Wilson 13. Dorothy Greening 14. Terry Patnode 15. Christine Robertson 16. Rosemary Skoczek 17. Christine Lopez 18. Robert fruin 19. Jamison Palmer 20. Maria Koehl 21. Raul Magaña 22. Hans nunnink 23. Deborah Outman 24. Teresa Thomason 24. Kahala Kohl 25. Lori March 26. Kuruc Kathy 27.

# Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Linda Morero 28. Marty Perez 29. William Yarnell 30. Martha Calkins 31. Kenneth Weisberg 32. Donald Rogers 33. Dale Fine 34. Carole Magary 35. R Lansing 36. Michael Gordy 37. Constance Aglione 38. Susann Ruttenberg 39. Barbara Schuber 40. Marsha Kolich

Investigation

Date:

Analyst:

Submitted By:

Type:

7/5/2016

Mary Mee

Telephone

Investigation

Comments noted for the record and docketed. CLOSED

### Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Roxanne Best Phone: <<< REDACTED >>> Opinion Date: 7/5/2016

Opinion Number: 2016 - 132764 Priority: Respond within 5 business days

Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed Closed Date: 7/5/2016 1:40 PM

First Name: steve Last Name: connell Account Name: steve connell

Address: <<< REDACTED >>>

City: Sun City State: AZ Zip Code: 85373

Company: Arizona Public Service Company Division: Electric

#### **Nature Of Opinion**

**Docket Number: E-01345A-16-0036** 

From: Utilities Div - Mailbox

Sent: Tuesday, July 05, 2016 1:16 PM

To: Roxanne Best <RBest@azcc.gov>

Subject: FW: Reject the APS attack on consumers

From: steve connell [mailto:oldsac7@aol.com]

Sent: Tuesday, July 05, 2016 1:11 PM

To: Utilities Div - Mailbox < Utilities Div@azcc.gov>

Subject: Reject the APS attack on consumers

Dear Commissioners Arizona Corporation Commission,

As an Arizona ratepayer, I am deeply troubled by the extreme proposal submitted by APS in docket E-01345A-16-0036.

The proposal to move over a million customers onto mandatory demand charges is unprecedented and will require customers to constantly monitor their energy use out of fear of exorbitant charges.

Further, consumers have no way to determine when these surge prices are triggered.

The elimination of net metering is intended to penalize customers who intend to make substantial private investments to reduce energy and contribute to more resilient Arizona energy future. This would mean the loss of thousands of jobs in addition to consumer choice, and is a brazen attempt by a monopoly utility to eliminate free market competition.

I call on you to reject this proposal, and any other that seeks to impose discriminatory charges on ratepayers to pad monopoly utility profits. Arizona residents will be watching your actions on this issue.

Regards,

steve connell

12317 W Patrick Ln

# Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Sun City, AZ 85373

Investigation

Date:

Analyst:

Submitted By:

Type:

7/5/2016

Roxanne Best

Telephone

Investigation

## Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Roxanne Best Phone: 602-364-1066 Opinion Date: 7/5/2016

Opinion Number: 2016 - 132751 Priority: Respond within 5 business days

Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed Closed Date: 7/5/2016 3:37 PM

First Name: Henry Last Name: Twombly Account Name: Henry Twombly

Address: 350 Arroyo Pinon Dr.

City: Sedona State: AZ Zip Code: 86336

Company: Arizona Public Service Company Division: Electric

**Nature Of Opinion** 

Docket Number: E-01345A-16-0036 Docket Position: Against

APS should not be able to charge extra money because someone did not want their smart meters. I did not receive anything from them, no services were rendered. If anything, this ploy by APS to extort money from customers is a disservice. Your duty as overseers of utilities is to protect consumers from these fraud-like fees from AZ corporations that lack integrity. Since the FBI has launched an investigation into our dealings with APS, it would be incredibly foolish on your part to play into the hands of the FBI by instituting these exorbitant and very questionable fees. So do your duty and stand up for the people for a change and more importantly your own self-interest.

Investigation

Date: Submitted By: Type:

7/5/2016 Connie Walczak Telephone Investigation

Record was closed and docketed.

### **Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form**

**Opinion Date: 7/1/2016** Phone: <<< REDACTED >>> **Investigator:** Roxanne Best

Priority: Respond within 5 business days **Opinion Number: 2016 - 132736** 

Closed Date: 7/1/2016 12:30 PM **Opinion Codes:** Rate Case Items - Demand/ Opposed

Rate Case Items - Opposed

First Name: Marcia **Last Name: Weisz Account Name:** Marcia Weisz

Address: <<< REDACTED >>>

**Zip Code: 85375** City: Sun City West State: AZ

Cell: <<< REDACTED >>> Email: <<< REDACTED >>> Home: <<< REDACTED >>>

**Division:** Electric **Company: Arizona Public Service Company** 

**Nature Of Opinion** 

**Docket Number: E-01345A-16-0036 Docket Position:** Against

Transition is the key. It is too early in the process to impose Mandatory demand charges on all rate payers, particularly the traditional non-solar rooftop customers. Also many of us in the Sun Cities are on limited incomes, with no COLA'S that amount to anything in the future.

Investigation

Submitted By: Type: Date: Analyst:

Investigation Telephone 7/5/2016 Roxanne Best

### Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Michael Buck Phone: <<< REDACTED >>> Opinion Date: 7/5/2016

Opinion Number: 2016 - 132772 Priority: Respond within 5 business days

Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed Closed Date: 7/5/2016 3:41 PM

Rate Case Items - Demand/ Opposed

First Name: Carole Last Name: Kier Account Name: Carole Kier

Address: <<< REDACTED >>>

City: Glendale State: AZ Zip Code: 85308

Email: <<< REDACTED >>>

Company: Arizona Public Service Company Division: Electric

**Nature Of Opinion** 

Docket Number: E-01345A-16-0036 Docket Position: Against

Dear Commissioners Arizona Corporation Commission,

As an Arizona ratepayer and a retired citizen, who also helps my single parent daughter with two children, I am deeply troubled by the extreme proposal submitted by the APS MONOPOLY in docket E-01345A-16-0036.

The proposal to move over a million customers onto mandatory demand charges is unprecedented and will require customers to constantly monitor their energy use out of fear of exorbitant charges.

Further, consumers have no way to determine when these surge prices are triggered. THIS IS A DEMOCRACY!!??

The elimination of net metering is intended to penalize customers who intend to make substantial private investments to reduce energy and contribute to more resilient Arizona energy future. This would mean the loss of thousands of jobs in addition to consumer choice, and is a brazen attempt by a monopoly utility to eliminate free market competition.

I call on you to REJECT THIS PROPOSAL, and any other that seeks to impose discriminatory charges on ratepayers to pad monopoly utility profits. Arizona residents will be watching your actions on this issue.

Thank you...

Regards,

Carole Kier

<<< REDACTED >>>

Glendale, AZ 85308

# Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Date:

Analyst:

Submitted By:

Type:

7/5/2016

Michael Buck

Telephone

Investigation

Entered into the record and docketed. Closed.

### Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Michael Buck Phone: <<< REDACTED >>> Opinion Date: 6/28/2016

Opinion Number: 2016 - 132652 Priority: Respond within 5 business days

Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed Closed Date: 6/28/2016 3:07 PM

First Name: Dawn Last Name: Wyles Account Name: Dawn Wyles

Address: <<< REDACTED >>>

City: Sedona State: AZ Zip Code: 86336

Cell: <<< REDACTED >>> Work: <<< REDACTED >>>

Company: Arizona Public Service Company

Nature Of Opinion

Docket Number: E-01345A-16-0036 Docket Position: Against

No to charges for Not having a Smart Meter

Investigation

Date: Submitted By: Type:

6/28/2016 Michael Buck Telephone Investigation

Entered into the record and docketed. Closed.

## Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Mary Mee Pho

Phone: <<< REDACTED >>>

**Opinion Date: 7/6/2016** 

**Opinion Number: 2016 - 132798** 

Priority: Respond within 5 business days

Opinion Codes:

Rate Case Items - Opposed

Closed Date: 7/6/2016 2:16 PM

**First Name: Vincent** 

Last Name: Giaconia

Account Name: Vincent Giaconia

Address: <<< REDACTED >>>

City: Prescott

State: AZ

**Zip Code: 86301** 

Company: Arizona Public Service Company

Division: Electric

**Nature Of Opinion** 

**Docket Number:** E-01345A-16-0036

**Docket Position:** Against

<<< REDACTED >>>

<<< REDACTED >>>

<<< REDACTED >>>

**Andy Tobin** 

Recently I heard on the news that APS was seeking at rate increase. They say that have not raised rates in 5 years.

Enclosed is a copy of my bills, one from 2011 and a recent bill. As you can see the rate has raised from around 16 cent per KWH to 18 cents kWh. The 2011 bill with higher KWh is less than the present one that did not use as much.

That seems like a raise to me.

Investigation

Date:

Analyst:

Submitted By:

Type:

7/6/2016

Mary Mee

Telephone

Investigation

Comments noted for the record and docketed. CLOSED